

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/954,964	09/19/2001	Kazuo Shiota	2091-0245P	9017
2292	7590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. /						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· 7	09/954,964	SHIOTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S Felten	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/302	<u>2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of the Request for Continued Examination ("RCE") filed July 30, 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 49-60 were added from the previous amendment. Claims 1-60 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon based upon their merits.

### Response to Argument

Arguments presented in the previous amendment filed July 30, 2004 are considered most based upon the new grounds of rejection presented below.

## Claim Rejections – 35USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained through the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Enomoto et al (US 5,974,401) in view of Mayle et al (US 6,018,774).

Art Unit: 3624

As in claims, 1, 26, 27 and 31-48, Enonmoto discloses a network photograph service system (see fig. 1, digital print ordering system) having at least one laboratory server 12 (photofinisher/photo-lab) installed in one of a plurality of laboratories (see fig. 1, col. 5, II. 57-65), picture printers 15-17 (see fig. 1, digital printers, 15, 16, col. 3, II. 13-40) communicating via a network 23, (see fig. 1);

as in claim 2, wherein the database further includes a function of transmitting information to the laboratory server 12 regarding one of plurality of templates specified by a request to the laboratory server when printing service process using the using the template is requested by a customer (see col. 6, II. 10-18), and

wherein the laboratory server generates a processed print using the template, based the transmitted information (see col. 5, II. 66 to col. 6, II. 42, particularly col. 6, II. 10-18),

as in claims 5-8, wherein each of the plurality of laboratories registers a new template to the center server when the new template is obtained at the one laboratory (see col. 5, II. 66 to col. 6, II. 42, particularly col. 6, II. 18+),

as in claims 9-16, wherein each laboratories stores high resolution image data of the template (see col. 6, II. 23-50),

as in claims 17-24, wherein the database stores the templates at low resolution image data having lower amount of data than the data amount of the high resolution image data stored in the laboratory server (see col. 6, II. 33+),

as in claim 25, a database that stores a photograph taken by a customer as digital image data, the database carrying out processing for providing services

Art Unit: 3624

regarding the storage of the digital image data to the customer (see col. 5, II. 66 to col. 6, II. 42, particularly col. 6, II. 18+),

as in claim 28, wherein management of the transaction includes calculating th cost to be paid to each laboratory for storing the digital image data, or the communication charge for the digital image data (see col. 2, II. 40-58),

as in claim 30, wherein the system charges the customer based on a result related to themanagement of the transaction (see col. 2, II. 40-58)

### Re in claims 49-60:

A network photograph service method providing a plurality of photo-finishing laboratories, each including a laboratory server which store picture images as each including a laboratory server which store picture images as high resolution image data; and

Installing a single center server in a service center which receives a printing service via a network, wherein the center server includes,

storing a picture recorded by a customer as a digital image data; and making the digital image data accessible on the network, selecting one photo-finishing laboratory out of said plurality of photo-finishing laboratories to output a print in response to order information transferred from the customer via the network, and

Art Unit: 3624

providing the printing service requested in the order by transmitting instruction information to the laboratory server installed in the selected photo-finishing laboratory, thereby enabling the customer to select a desired photo-finishing laboratories to perform the printing service,

wherein the digital image data stored and made accessible by the center server is low resolution image data having a lower amount than that of the high resolution image data stored in the laboratory server of the selected photo-finishing laboratory, and

wherein the center server stores the digital image data in correlation with storage location information showing the laboratory server in which the image data is stored as high resolution image data, and selects, upon selection of the photo-finishing laboratory to output the image, the photo-finishing laboratory in the laboratory server stores the high resolution data of the picture image whose print has been ordered, based on the storage location information.

Enomoto discloses a database 24 that is connected with the laboratory server a plurality of templates specified by a request to the laboratory server when printing service process using the template is requested by a customer (see col. 5, II. 66 to col. 6, II. 42, particularly col. 6, 10-18). Enomoto fails to disclose a central server. Mayle discloses a central server (web server) that can be used to transmitted digital photography over a network (see Mayle col. 4, II. 6-50). It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of to recognize the advantage of the internet to

Art Unit: 3624

provide a mode of remotely exchanging electronic/digital information. One of ordinary skill in that art would also know that the Internet is connected by servers which supply digital information and/or servers to users. Since Enomoto contemplates the use of a network 23 to remotely exchange electronic information, it would have been obvious to modify Enomoto with the server and network Mayle so as to exchange electronic information over a widely used network. Thus such a modification would provide convenience to the user to remotely exchange electronic/digital images and other electronic information as well as remotely provide various services. Thus such a modification would have been considered an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone is (703) 305-0724. The Examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631 or the examiner's supervisor Vincent Millin whose phone number is (703) 308-1065.

Response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 3624

Commisioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

For formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or

draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those

under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the

applicant and should be addressed to [Daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communication will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a

possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchange unless the record

includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35

U.S.C. 122 This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published

in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on Febrary 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG

89.

DSF

October 17, 2004

Vincent Mille

Page 7

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600